

The Role and Relevance of Experimentation in Informatics

Viola Schiaffonati

Artificial Intelligence and Robotics Laboratory
Politecnico di Milano

Experimentation: role and relevance

- Starting point: philosophy of science perspective (philosophy of experimentation)
- Ending point? Philosophy and engineering
- In the middle: **good experimental methodologies** in computer science and engineering
 - Grounded philosophy!

Relevance

- Sure, experiments are relevant
 - Experimental scientific method taking center stage in computer science and engineering (Freeman 2008, Morrison and Snodgrass 2011)
- why are they relevant?
 - Help in building a reliable base of knowledge, in leading to useful and unexpected insights, in accelerating progress (Tichy 1998)

Role

- But what about their role?
 - what is an experiment in general and in informatics in particular
 - Experiments in informatics between **science** and **engineering** (research)

Taking inspiration from science

- Experimental methodologies in informatics have not yet reached the level of maturity of other scientific disciplines
 - Idea: look at how experiments are performed in traditional scientific disciplines
 - Principles: **comparison**, **reproducibility** and **repeatability**, **justification** and **explanation**

Consequences

- Terminological and conceptual **clarification**
 - Definition of experiment (experimental methods not to be confused with empirical methods)
 - Replication not enough!
- **Application** of traditional scientific method to computer science and engineering
 - Comparison, reproducibility/repeatability, justification/explanation declined
- Consideration of peculiar aspects of **experiments in engineering**

what is an experiment?

- Experiment is **controlled experience** (from Galileo's '*sensate esperienze*')
 - The phenomenon under investigation must be treated as an isolated object
 - It is assumed that other factors not under investigation do not influence the investigated object
- Set of **observations** and **actions**, performed in a **controlled context**, to test a given hypothesis

Observing vs. experimenting

- Observing a drop of water through a microscope is not an experiment
- Observing the same drop through a microscope, after having colored it with a chemical reagent in order to evidence some microorganisms, is an experimental procedure
 - **Ability to control** some of the features of a phenomenon under investigation
 - **Purpose of testing** the behavior of the drop under some controlled circumstances

Experimental principles declined

- Comparison, repeatability/reproducibility, justification/explanation in a computer engineering field (Amigoni *et al.* 2009, Amigoni and Schiaffonati 2010)
- Autonomous mobile robotics
 - Robots with the ability to maintain a sense of position and to navigate without human intervention

Comparison

- **Comparison** presupposes to know what has been already done in the past to evaluate new results with the old ones
- **Comparison in autonomous mobile robotics**
 - Increasing use of **publicly available data sets** (Victoria Park, RADISH, and Rawseeds) to set a common ground for comparing different systems
 - Development of **comparable implementations**, starting from the description provided in papers and reports and also from the use of the same code

Reproducibility and repeatability

- **Reproducibility** is the possibility to independently verify the results of a given experiment
- **Repeatability** concerns the fact that a single result is not sufficient to ensure the success of an experiment
- **Reproducibility and repeatability in autonomous mobile robotics**
 - Implementation of **similar experiments** to understand the parameters influencing the system
 - Public **distribution** of **code** and/or problem instances
 - Adoption of **standard data sets** as **benchmarks**
 - Report of **anomalies** in performance

Justification and explanation

- **Justification** deals with drawing justified conclusions on the basis of the information collected during an experiment
- **Explanation** requires a deep analysis of data to derive correct implications
- **Justification and explanation in autonomous mobile robotics**
 - Use of **several data sets** to derive well justified conclusions
 - Correct behavior of systems verified according to **ground truth** or **visual inspection**
 - **Difficulty** in generalizing when ground truth is not available

Experiments from science to engineering

- Not just different **objects**
 - Natural objects (science)
 - Technical artifacts (engineering)
- But different **purposes**
 - To understand a natural phenomenon (science)
 - To test an artifact (engineering)

Experiments and technical artifacts

- The notion of **technical artifact** is **central** to reflect on experiments in computer science and engineering
- why?
 - **Engineering** is an activity producing **technology**
 - Technology is a practice focused on the creation of **artifacts** and **artifact-based services** (Franssen *et al.*, 2010)

Technical artifacts

- Material objects deliberately produced by **humans** in order to fulfill some **practical functions**
 - **Technical function**: what is the technical artifact for?
 - **Physical composition**: what does it consist of?
 - **Instruction** for use: how must it be used?
- Mutual dependency
 - Technical artifact as a physical object with a technical function and use plan designed and made by human beings

Informatics and technical artifacts

- why informatics products are technical artifacts?
- They are **physical objects** deliberately **produced** by **humans** with a **technical function** and **use plan** designed and made by human beings (vermaas *et al.* 2011)

Experiments and technical function

- Experiments in engineering evaluate technical artifacts according to whether and what amount the function for which they have been built is fulfilled
- **Normative claims** are introduced depending on a given reference function or set of functions
 - The artifact as ‘good’ or ‘bad’
- Is this enough?

Between science and engineering

- Informatics between **engineering** and **science** (even with respect to experiments)
 - Experiments performed to **test** how well an **artifact** works with respect to a **reference model** and a **metric**
 - Experiments performed to **understand** how complex **artifacts** (whose behavior is hardly predictable) **work** and **interact** with the environment (at different degrees)

Again on the role of experimentation

- More rigor, better progress?
- Internal and external role of experimentation
 - Internal: reflection on the disciplinary status of computer science and engineering from a methodological point of view (not just the object, but also the method)
 - External: toward the philosophy of engineering (with the contribute of philosophy of science and technology)

References

- Amigoni, F., Reggiani, M., Schiaffonati, V. (2009) “An Insightful Comparison between Experiments in Mobile Robotics and in Science”. *Autonomous Robots* 27(4), 313-325.
- Amigoni, F., Schiaffonati, V. (2010). “Good Experimental Methodologies and Simulation in Autonomous Mobile Robotics” in Magnani, L., Carnielli, W., Pizzi, C. (eds.), *Model-Based Reasoning in Science and Technology*, Springer, 315-332.
- Freeman, P. (2008) “Back to Experimentation” in *Communications of the ACM* 51 (1), 21-22.
- Franssen, M., Lokhorst, G., van de Poel, I. (2010) “Philosophy of Technology ” in The *Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy* (Spring 2010 Edition), Edward N. Zalta (ed.), <http://plato.stanford.edu/archives/spr2010/entries/technology/>
- Morrison, C., Snodgrass, R. (2011) “Computer Science Can Use More Science” in *Communications of the ACM* 54 (6), 36-38.
- Tichy, W. (1998) “Should Computer Scientists Experiment More?” *IEEE Computer* 31 (5), 32-40.
- Vermaas, P., Kroes, P., van de Poel, I., Franssen, M., Houkes, W. (2011) *A Philosophy of Technology. From Technical Artefacts to Sociotechnical Systems*. Morgan and Claypool.